Feedback from the Reviewers

C. Clarity of presentation:

English grammar and spelling are proper ------------------------------------------ [3 - I agree]

Mathematical symbols and equations are easy to understand ------------------------ [3 - I agree]

Figures and tables are well constructed and informative -------------------------- [3 - I agree]

The paper is well organized ------------------------------------------------------ [2 - I am neutral]

Considering the issues above, the paper is readable ------------------------------ [3 - I agree]

T. Technical innovation and relevance

The authors cite other relevant publications ------------------------------------- [2 - I am neutral]

Authors describe relevance of work to the research field ------------------------- [3 - I agree]

The authors apply sound technical approaches ------------------------------------- [2 - I am neutral]

New ideas are convincingly and logically described ------------------------------- [2 - I am neutral]

Results are convincing ----------------------------------------------------------- [2 - I am neutral]

Considering the issues above, this work should be presented --------------------- [1 - I disagree]

Comments:

It is interesting and modern topic, however experimental

results must be added to check feasibility.

It is critical especially in the reporting such numbers as

efficiency, THD.
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